

Non-exclusion statistics: a generalization of Bose-Einstein's principle

Yupeng Wang

Institute of Physics & Center for Condensed Matter Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, People's Republic of China

By constructing the super-particle representation of the free boson gas, we propose a new statistics in which the particles are non-exclusive. This statistics can be considered as a generalization of Bose-Einstein's. The possible condensation of this statistical system is studied. It is found that the chemical potential below the condensation temperature is linearly proportional to the temperature rather than a constant. With an proper choice of the exclusion factors γ_l , Hadane-Wu's fractional statistics is retrieved in this representation.

Bose-Einstein statistics and Fermi-Dirac statistics are two paradigms of quantum statistical mechanics. The former is non-exclusive, i.e., an arbitrary number of particles (bosons) are allowed to occupy a single-particle quantum state, while the latter is exclusive and in maximum only one particle (fermion) is allowed to occupy a single-particle quantum state. In a condensed matter, however, the interaction may change the statistics of the quasi-particles drastically. A well known example is the one-dimensional hard-core boson lattice, which is identical to a free fermion lattice via the Jordan-Wigner transformation. That means the infinite on-site repulsion changes the statistics of the bosons to a Fermi-Dirac one. In fact, any small repulsive interaction in a one-dimensional boson system may drive it to behave as a Fermi system (in the sense that the system has a Fermi surface), as shown by Yang and Yang in an integrable model. [1] Motivated by the study of spinons in one-dimensional antiferromagnets, [2–4] Haldane formulated a general exclusion statistics [5] without specific reference to spatial dimension. With Haldane's definition, the maximum occupation number of particles in a single-particle quantum state is just the inversion of the statistical factor g , as shown by Wu. [6] Therefore this definition can be considered as a generalization of Pauli's exclusion principle. When applied to fractional quantum Hall effect, it coincides with the standard 2D anyon definition [7] in terms of the braiding of particle trajectories, though in general they are different. There are indeed some systems in which the interactions among the bare particles can be completely absorbed into the quasi-particles with which the systems behave as an ideal statistical ones. Concrete examples are the Calogero-Sutherland model [8,9] and the Haldane-Shastry spin chain. [3,4]

Up to date, a successful Bose liquid theory corresponding to Landau's Fermi liquid theory has not been established. The main difficulty lies in the absence of an energy cutoff (counterpart of the Fermi energy in a fermion system). Though an arbitrarily small repulsive interaction may drive a one-dimensional boson system to behave as a non-ideal exclusion system [10,11] or a Luttinger liquid, [12] the superfluidity of the liquid ^4He and

the condensation of some alkali atoms strongly indicate that the interactions of bosons may not induce an extremely exclusion in three dimensions. In this letter, we formulate an ideal non-exclusion statistics beyond that of Bose-Einstein. Comparing to Haldane's exclusion statistics, this new statistics can be considered as a generalization of Bose-Einstein's principle. The deviation from Bose-Einstein statistics can be due to some interaction which is supposed to be absorbed into the quasi-particles completely.

For a free boson system, an arbitrary number of particles occupying a single-particle quantum state is allowed. In addition, the physical quantities of these particles are additive, i.e., if n particles are in the quantum state labeled by the momentum $\vec{k}$ with single-particle energy $\epsilon(\vec{k})$, their total momentum and energy take the value of $n\vec{k}$ and $n\epsilon(\vec{k})$, respectively. Therefore, l bosons with mass M in a single-particle state $\vec{k}$ can be treated as a super-particle of mass lM , momentum $l\vec{k}$ and energy $l\epsilon(\vec{k})$. We call it simply a rank- l super-particle. Obviously, a rank- l super-particle can also be treated as the combination of some rank- l_α super-particles with $\sum_\alpha l_\alpha = l$. If we treat all types of super-particles independently, the many-particle quantum states must be over counted. Hence an intrinsic exclusion among the super-particles defined in the same single-particle quantum state should be taken into account to give a correct statistics. In the thermodynamic limit, we define the density of rank- l super-particles in the single-particle phase space as $\rho_l(\vec{k})$ and the corresponding hole density as $\rho_l^h(\vec{k})$, respectively. The density of particles (rank-1) is given by $n(\vec{k}) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} l\rho_l(\vec{k})$. The intrinsic exclusion of the super-particles is expressed formally as

$$\rho_l^h(\vec{k}) = \rho_l^0 - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} f_{lm}\rho_m(\vec{k}), \quad (1)$$

where ρ_l^0 denotes the density of rank- l holes in the absence of particles and f_{lm} are the intrinsic exclusion factors. Since the volume of a boson in the phase space does not depend on its mass and we measure the densities in the phase space of rank-1 particles, we readily

have $\rho_l^0 = l\rho_1^0$ with $\rho_1^0 = 1/(2\pi\hbar)^d$ in d spatial dimensions as usual. The linear dependence of ρ_l^h and ρ_m is up to now still an assumption. We note that if Eq.(1) gives a correct description of a free boson system, the exclusion factors f_{lm} ought to be dimension independent. To show them explicitly, let us consider a one-dimensional system consisting of N interacting bosons with δ -potential. The Hamiltonian reads

$$H = -\sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} - 2c \sum_{i<j} \delta(x_i - x_j). \quad (2)$$

This model was shown to be exactly solvable [10] for arbitrary real c and for $c \rightarrow 0$, it is reduced to a free boson system. The eigenstates of Eq.(2) are described by N momenta $\{k_j\}$ which are determined by the so-called Bethe ansatz equations [10]

$$e^{ik_j L} = - \prod_{m=1}^N \frac{k_j - k_m - ic}{k_j - k_m + ic}, \quad (3)$$

with the eigenenergy of Eq.(2) as $E = k_j^2$, where L is the length of the system. For $c \rightarrow 0^-$, it has been demonstrated [1] that the Bethe solution gives exactly the Bose-Einstein statistics. For $c > 0$, Eq.(3) allows string solutions with

$$k_{j,\alpha}^l = k_j^l + \frac{i}{2}(l+1-2\alpha)c, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, l. \quad (4)$$

As $c \rightarrow 0^+$, the strings are squeezed to the real axis and hence can be treated as the super-particles. Substituting the squeezed-string solutions into Eq.(3) and take the logarithm, we readily obtain

$$lk_j^l L = 2\pi I_j^l + \pi \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [2\min\{l, m\} - \delta_{l,m}] \theta(k_j^l - k_i^m), \quad (5)$$

where $\theta(x)$ is the sign function; I_j^l are integers (if N odd) or half odd integers (if N even). Notice above we have taken the Planck constant $\hbar$ and the double mass $2M$ as our unit. Without the prefactor l , Eq.(5) is just the equation of the Haldane-Sharstry spin chain obtained by Ha and Haldane. [13] In the continuum limit $L \rightarrow \infty$, put $I_j^l \rightarrow I_l(k)$. Then $\rho_l(k) + \rho_l^h(k) = dI_l(k)/dk$ as pointed out by Yang and Yang. [1] In this case, we derive out Eq.(1) from Eq.(5) in one dimension with

$$f_{lm} = 2\min\{l, m\}. \quad (6)$$

To verify further the validity of Eq.(1) with Eq.(6) for an ideal Bose gas, we study the thermodynamics of such a system. The density of thermal potential of this system can be expressed formally as

$$f = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \int l[\epsilon(\vec{k}) - \mu] \rho_l(\vec{k}) d\vec{k} - T \int s(\vec{k}) d\vec{k}, \quad (7)$$

where μ denotes the chemical potential, $\epsilon(\vec{k})$ is the energy of a single particle and $s(\vec{k})$ is the entropy density which reads [1]

$$s(\vec{k}) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} [(\rho_l(\vec{k}) + \rho_l^h(\vec{k})) \ln(\rho_l(\vec{k}) + \rho_l^h(\vec{k})) - \rho_l(\vec{k}) \ln \rho_l(\vec{k}) - \rho_l^h(\vec{k}) \ln \rho_l^h(\vec{k})]. \quad (8)$$

By minimizing the thermal potential via $\delta\rho_l(\vec{k})$ with Eq.(1) and Eq.(6), we obtain

$$f = -\frac{T}{(2\pi)^d} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \int l \ln[1 + \eta_l^{-1}(\vec{k})] d\vec{k}, \quad (9)$$

where d is the dimension of the system and $\eta_l(\vec{k}) \equiv \rho_l^h(\vec{k})/\rho_l(\vec{k})$ and at thermal equilibrium satisfy the relations

$$\ln[1 + \eta_l(\vec{k})] = \frac{l[\epsilon(\vec{k}) - \mu]}{T} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} f_{lm} \ln[1 + \eta_m^{-1}(\vec{k})]. \quad (10)$$

After some manipulations, Eq.(10) can be converted to the following algebraic equations

$$\eta_l^2(\vec{k}) = (1 + \eta_{l+1}(\vec{k}))(1 + \eta_{l-1}(\vec{k})), \quad (11)$$

with the boundary conditions $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \eta_l(\vec{k})/l = [\epsilon(\vec{k}) - \mu]/T$ and $\eta_0 \equiv 0$. The solution of Eq.(11) is [14]

$$\eta_l(\vec{k}) = \frac{\sinh^2[(l+1)\frac{\epsilon(\vec{k})-\mu}{2T}]}{\sinh^2 \frac{\epsilon(\vec{k})-\mu}{2T}} - 1. \quad (12)$$

From Eq.(10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} l \ln(1 + \eta_l^{-1}(\vec{k})) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} [\ln(1 + \eta_N(\vec{k})) - N \frac{\epsilon(\vec{k}) - \mu}{T}] \\ &= -\ln(1 - e^{-\frac{\epsilon(\vec{k})-\mu}{T}}). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

This allows us to rewrite the density of the thermal potential as

$$f = \frac{T}{(2\pi)^d} \int \ln(1 - e^{-\frac{\epsilon(\vec{k})-\mu}{T}}) d\vec{k}. \quad (14)$$

It is just the expected one for a free boson gas in d dimensions. Therefore, the super-particle description Eq.(1) with Eq.(6) is indeed a correct one for the ideal boson gas in the thermodynamic limit.

For an interacting Bose system, the total energy will be lifted by the repulsions among the particles. That means

the repulsive interaction in some sense may induce an exclusion effect. Suppose we introduce the interaction in the following way: (i) There is a one-to-one correspondence between the quasi-super-particles and the super-particles of the free boson system; (ii) The interactions are completely absorbed into the quasi-super-particles and induce further exclusion effect. This hypothesis defines the quasi-super-particle distribution as

$$\rho_l^h(\vec{k}) = \frac{l}{(2\pi)^d} - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} f_{lm} \rho_m(\vec{k}) - \gamma_l n(\vec{k}). \quad (15)$$

Note in the above definition, we choose the exclusion term proportional to the occupation density $n(\vec{k})$. γ_l are some positive constants which measure the strength of the exclusion effect. A simple choice of the statistical factors is to take all of them to be a unique constant, i.e., $\gamma_l = \gamma$. This definition is very similar to Haldane's but in the representation of quasi-super-particles. This however allows us to construct an ideal statistics without complete exclusion. The density of the thermodynamic potential in our case is still expressed as

$$f = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \int l[\epsilon(\vec{k}) - \mu] \rho_l(\vec{k}) d\vec{k} - T \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \int \{ [\rho_l(\vec{k}) + \rho_l^h(\vec{k})] \ln[\rho_l(\vec{k}) + \rho_l^h(\vec{k})] - \rho_l(\vec{k}) \ln \rho_l(\vec{k}) - \rho_l^h(\vec{k}) \ln \rho_l^h(\vec{k}) \} d\vec{k}. \quad (16)$$

Notice that $\delta \rho_l^h(\vec{k})$ and $\delta \rho_m(\vec{k})$ are not independent. From Eq.(15) we have

$$\delta \rho_l^h(\vec{k}) = - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (f_{lm} + m\gamma) \delta \rho_m(\vec{k}). \quad (17)$$

By minimizing the density of the thermodynamic potential f with respect to $\rho_l(\vec{k})$, i.e., $\delta f / \delta \rho_l(\vec{k}) = 0$, we obtain that

$$\ln[1 + \eta_l(\vec{k})] = \frac{l[\epsilon(\vec{k}) - \mu]}{T} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (f_{lm} + l\gamma) \ln[1 + \eta_m^{-1}(\vec{k})]. \quad (18)$$

With the identities

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(f_{l+1m} + f_{l-1m}) &= f_{lm} - \delta_{lm}, \quad l > 1 \\ \frac{1}{2}f_{2m} &= f_{1m} - \delta_{1m}, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Eq.(18) can still be transformed into Eq.(11) but with different boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_0(\vec{k}) &\equiv 0, \\ \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\eta_l(\vec{k})}{l} &= \frac{\epsilon(\vec{k}) - \mu}{T} + \gamma \ln Z(\vec{k}), \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where

$$\ln Z(\vec{k}) \equiv \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \ln[1 + \eta_m^{-1}(\vec{k})]. \quad (21)$$

The solutions of $\eta_l(\vec{k})$ in the present case read

$$\eta_l(\vec{k}) = \frac{\sinh^2(l+1)[\frac{\epsilon(\vec{k}) - \mu}{2T} + \frac{\gamma}{2} \ln Z(\vec{k})]}{\sinh^2[\frac{\epsilon(\vec{k}) - \mu}{2T} + \frac{\gamma}{2} \ln Z(\vec{k})]} - 1. \quad (22)$$

From the $l = 1$ case of Eq.(18) we derive the following relation for the function $Z(\vec{k})$

$$[Z(\vec{k}) - 1]Z^\gamma(\vec{k}) = e^{\frac{\mu - \epsilon(\vec{k})}{T}}. \quad (23)$$

From the $l \rightarrow \infty$ case of Eq.(18) we have the relation

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} l \ln[1 + \eta_l^{-1}(\vec{k})] = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} [\ln(1 + \eta_N(\vec{k})) - N \frac{\epsilon(\vec{k}) - \mu}{T} - N \gamma \ln Z(\vec{k})] \quad (24) \\ &= -\ln[2 - Z(\vec{k})], \end{aligned}$$

and therefore the density of the thermodynamic potential can be rewritten as

$$f = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int \ln[2 - Z(\vec{k})] d\vec{k}. \quad (25)$$

Since all $\eta_l(\vec{k})$ are non-negative, from the definition we know that $Z(\vec{k}) \geq 1$. In addition, $Z(\vec{k}) \leq 2$ to keep f to be real. From Eq.(23), it is easily to derive

$$T \frac{\partial Z(\vec{k})}{\partial \mu} = \frac{Z(\vec{k}) - 1}{1 + \gamma(1 - Z^{-1}(\vec{k}))}. \quad (26)$$

With the relation $\int n(\vec{k}) d\vec{k} = -T \partial f / \partial \mu$ we get the single-particle distribution function $n(\vec{k})$ as

$$n(\vec{k}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{Z(\vec{k}) - 1}{[2 - Z(\vec{k})][1 + \gamma(1 - Z^{-1}(\vec{k}))]}. \quad (27)$$

As $\gamma = 0$, $n(\vec{k})$ is just the Bose-Einstein's distribution function. For $Z(\vec{k}) \rightarrow 2$, $n(\vec{k}) \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, Eq.(15) can be considered as a generalization of Bose-Einstein's statistics. It is interesting to study the condensation behavior of the present system. As in the ideal boson gas, condensation occurs on the $\vec{k} = 0$ state, if there is any. Note that $Z(\vec{k})$ is a decreasing function of μ . When the temperature is lowered, μ tends to a critical value with which $Z(0) = 2$, corresponding to the singular point of $n(\vec{k})$. Obviously, $\mu(T) = \gamma T \ln 2$ below the critical temperature T_c . For a given density of particles n and $\epsilon(\vec{k}) = k^2$, T_c is determined by

$$T_c^{-\frac{d}{2}} = \frac{1}{4\pi n} \int_0^\infty \frac{[z(x) - 1]x^{\frac{d}{2}-1}dx}{[2 - z(x)][1 + \gamma(1 - z^{-1}(x))]}, \quad (28)$$

with

$$[z(x) - 1]z^\gamma(x) = 2^\gamma e^{-x}. \quad (29)$$

For $x \rightarrow 0$, $2 - z(x) \sim x$, the integral in Eq.(28) is divergent for $d \leq 2$, which indicates the absence of condensation at finite temperature. However, the finite-temperature condensation indeed occurs for $d > 2$. A simple analysis leads to $\partial z(x)/\partial \gamma > 0$ and $\partial T_c/\partial \gamma < 0$, which indicates that T_c is decreasing with the increase of γ and $T_c \rightarrow 0$ for $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$.

An interesting issue is that a proper choice of γ_m may even induce some exclusion statistics. For example, we put $\gamma_m = mg$ with g a positive constant. In this case, we have

$$\delta \rho_l^h(\vec{k}) = - \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (f_{lm} + lmg) \delta \rho_m(\vec{k}). \quad (30)$$

With the above relation to minimize the thermodynamic potential Eq.(16), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \ln[1 + \eta(\vec{k})] &= \frac{l[\epsilon(\vec{k}) - \mu]}{T} \\ &+ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (f_{lm} + lmg) \ln[1 + \eta_m^{-1}(\vec{k})]. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

The solutions of $\eta(\vec{k})$ take the following form

$$\eta(\vec{k}) = \frac{\sinh^2(l+1)[\frac{\epsilon(\vec{k})-\mu}{2T} + \frac{\gamma}{2} \ln \zeta(\vec{k})]}{\sinh^2[\frac{\epsilon(\vec{k})-\mu}{2T} + \frac{\gamma}{2} \ln \zeta(\vec{k})]} - 1, \quad (32)$$

where

$$\ln \zeta(\vec{k}) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m \ln[1 + \eta_m^{-1}(\vec{k})], \quad (33)$$

and the thermodynamical potential in this case reads

$$f = -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int \ln \zeta(\vec{k}) d\vec{k}. \quad (34)$$

With the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \zeta(\vec{k}) &= \frac{1}{2} \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \{\ln[1 + \eta_N(\vec{k})] \\ &- N \frac{\epsilon(\vec{k}) - \mu}{T} - Ng \ln \zeta(\vec{k})\}, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

we get

$$[1 - \zeta^{-1}(\vec{k})]\zeta^g(\vec{k}) = e^{\frac{\mu - \epsilon(\vec{k})}{T}}. \quad (36)$$

Define

$$Y(\vec{k}) \equiv \frac{1}{\zeta(\vec{k}) - 1}. \quad (37)$$

Eq.(36) can be transformed into

$$Y^g(\vec{k})[1 + Y(\vec{k})]^{1-g} = e^{\frac{\epsilon(\vec{k}) - \mu}{T}}, \quad (38)$$

and the distribution function $n(\vec{k})$ reads

$$n(\vec{k}) = \frac{T}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{\partial \ln \zeta(\vec{k})}{\partial \mu} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{Y(\vec{k}) + g}. \quad (39)$$

Eq.(38) and Eq.(39) are just the results of Haldane's exclusion statistics derived by Wu. [6]

In conclusion, by constructing the super-particle representation of the free boson gas, we introduce a non-exclusion statistics of many-particle systems. the Bose-Einstein condensation of this system is also studied. Unlike in the free boson gas, it is found that the chemical potential below the transition temperature is linearly proportional to the temperature. Haldane-Wu's fractional statistics is perfectly retrieved in this representation. This strongly suggests that with the super-particle representation, the non-exclusion statistics and the exclusion statistics can be constructed in an uniform way.

This work was supported by NSFC under grant No. 19825112.

-
- [1] C.N. Yang and C.P. Yang, J. Math. Phys. **10**, 1115 (1969).
 - [2] L.D. Faddeev and L.A. Takhtajan, Phys. Lett. **85A**, 375 (1981).
 - [3] F.D.M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 635 (1988); **66**, 1529 (1991).
 - [4] B.S. Shastri, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 639 (1988).
 - [5] F.D.M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 937 (1991).
 - [6] Y.S. Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 922 (1994).
 - [7] J.M. Leinaas and J. Myrheim, Nuovo Cimento **37B**, 1(1977); F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **49**, 957 (1982).
 - [8] F. Calogero, J. Math. Phys. **10**, 2191; 2197 (1971).
 - [9] B. Sutherland, J. Math. Phys. **12**, 250 (1971); Phys. Rev. A **5**, 137 (1972); Rocky Mt. J. Math. **8**, 413 (1978).
 - [10] E.H. Lieb and W. Liniger, Phys. Rev. **130**, 1605 (1963).
 - [11] E.H. Lieb, Phys. Rev. **130**, 1616 (1963).
 - [12] F.D.M. Haldane, J. Phys. **C 14**, 2585 (1981).
 - [13] Z.N.C. Ha and F.D.M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 12459 (1993).
 - [14] M. Takahashi, Prog. Theor. Phys. **50**, 1519 (1973).